DECISION-MAKER:			CHILDREN AND FAMILIES SCRUTINY PANEL							
SUBJECT:			MONITORING SCRUTINY RECOMMENDATIONS							
DATE OF DECISION:			3 NOVEMBER 2016							
REPORT OF:			SERVICE DIRECTOR - LEGAL AND GOVERNANCE							
CONTACT DETAILS										
AUTHOR: Name		Name:	Mark Pirnie	Tel:	023 8083 3886					
ſ		E-mail:	Mark.pirnie@southampton.gov	.pirnie@southampton.gov.uk						
Director N		Name:	Richard Ivory	Tel:	023 8083 2794					
E-m		E-mail:	Richard.ivory@southampton.gov.uk							
STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY										
None										
BRIEF SUMMARY										
This item enables the Children and Families Scrutiny Panel to monitor and track progress on recommendations made at previous meetings.										
RECOMMENDATIONS:										
	(i)	That the Panel considers the responses to recommendations from previous meetings and provides feedback								
REASO	NS FOR I	REPORT	RECOMMENDATIONS							
1.	To assist the Panel in assessing the impact and consequence of recommendations made at previous meetings.									
ALTER	NATIVE C	PTIONS	CONSIDERED AND REJECTED							
2.	None.									
DETAIL	. (Includin	ng consul	tation carried out)							
3.	Appendix 1 of the report sets out the recommendations made at previous meetings of the Children and Families Scrutiny Panel. It also contains summaries of any action taken in response to the recommendations.									
4.	The progress status for each recommendation is indicated and if the Children and Families Scrutiny Panel confirms acceptance of the items marked as completed they will be removed from the list. In cases where action on the recommendation is outstanding or the Panel does not accept the matter has been adequately completed, it will be kept on the list and reported back to the next meeting. It will remain on the list until such time as the Panel accepts the recommendation as completed. Rejected recommendations will only be removed from the list after being reported to the Children and Families Scrutiny Panel.									
RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS										
Capital	Capital/Revenue									
5.	None.									
Property/Other										
6.	None.	None.								

7. t Other Le	the Local Governm gal Implications: None	ike overview an	in the report: d scrutiny is set out in Part 1/	A Section 9 of							
Other Leg 8. 1	the Local Governm gal Implications: None		d scrutiny is set out in Part 1	A Section 9 of							
1 .8	None										
			Other Legal Implications:								
POLICY I	FRAMEWORK IMF	None									
		POLICY FRAMEWORK IMPLICATIONS									
9. None											
KEY DEC	CISION No										
WARDS/	COMMUNITIES AF	FECTED:	None directly as a result of this report								
SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION											
Appendices											
1. [Monitoring Scrutiny Recommendations – 3 November 2016										
Documents In Members' Rooms											
1. None											
Equality Impact Assessment											
Do the im Impact As	No										
Privacy Impact Assessment											
Do the implications/subject of the report require a Privacy Impact N Assessment (PIA) to be carried out.											
Other Background Documents											
Equality Impact Assessment and Other Background documents available for inspection at:											
Title of Ba	ackground Paper(s)	Relevant Paragraph of the Access to Information Procedure Rules / Schedule 12A allowing document to be Exempt/Confidential (if applicable)								
1.	None										